1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GARY M. RESTAINO United States Attorney District of Arizona DAVID A. PIMSNER Arizona State Bar No. 007480 ABBIE BROUGHTON MARSH California State Bar No. 226680 Assistant U.S. Attorneys Two Renaissance Square 40 N. Central Ave., Suite 1800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: 602-514-7500 Email: David.Pimsner@usdoj.gov Email: Abbie.Broughton.Marsh@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
11		
12	United States of America,	No. CR-23-08132-PCT-JJT
13	Plaintiff,	
14	VS.	IOINT HIRV OUESTIONNAIRE
15	Donald Day Jr.,	JOINT JURY QUESTIONNAIRE PROPOSED QUESTIONS
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	The United States and Donald Day, Jr., through his undersigned counsel,	
22	respectfully submit a Joint Jury Questionnaire Proposed Questions pursuant to this Court's	
23	Order (Doc. 74). The parties incorporate by reference the Standard Questions for Judge	
24	Tuchi's Jury Prescreening Questionnaires Criminal Cases [Updated 4/24/2024] ¹ and	
25	submit the following proposed stipulations, modifications, additions, and objections for the	
26	Court's consideration.	
2728	JJT Standard Prescreen Jury Questionnaire_0.pdf (uscourts.gov)	

Length and Schedule of Trial

The trial is expected to last seven days and will begin on October 22, 2024.

Allowing some additional time for deliberations after the jury receives the case, the Court projects the jury could be occupied up to and through November 1, 2024.

Questionnaire

- 40. *Insert the following*: Will the fact that this case involves charges of making threats and unlawful possession of firearms and ammunition affect your ability to serve fairly and impartially?
- 41. *Modify question to reflect the following*: Have you or has someone close to you ever been the victim of a crime, whether or not there was a prosecution?
 - 46. Strike question for lack of relevance.
 - 47. Strike question for lack of relevance.
 - 49. Strike question for lack of relevance.
- 50. Modify question to reflect the following: Some of the witnesses will be federal law enforcement officers with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms (ATF) and Customs and Border Protection (CBP). Do you have opinions (positive or negative) of federal law enforcement, or in particular the FBI, ATF or CBP, that would make it difficult for you to be a fair and impartial juror in this case?
- 53. *Modify the question to reflect the following*: If the trial were to result in a guilty verdict or verdicts, the judge would decide the punishment in this case and you will be so instructed. Do you disagree with the judge imposing the sentence if there is a conviction?
- 61. Modify the question to reflect the following: Jury service is essential to the administration of justice. Accordingly, inconvenience will not be sufficient to excuse a prospective juror. To be excused, a juror must show an unacceptable amount of personal hardship. In light of these considerations, and in light of the projected trial schedule of October 22. 2024 through November 1, 2024 (excluding the intervening weekend) would

service as a juror in this case create unacceptable personal, financial, professional, or other serious hardship for you?

2 3

62. Stipulated question: What bumper stickers, if any, do you have on your car?

4

1

63. Stipulated question: Do you have any belief or opinion on the subject of firearms or the laws that govern firearms that could affect the way you think about this case?

5 6

64. Stipulated question: Have you, or has anyone you know, had any experience with guns that could influence your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror in this case?

7 8

9

65. Stipulated question: Do you or any member of your family or household currently own or have access to a firearm? If yes, please describe the weapon(s).

10

11

12

66. Stipulated question: Do you communicate with others or express your opinions using social media platforms such as YouTube, X (formerly Twitter), or other chat rooms? Do you do so anonymously or with people you don't know outside the internet? If so,

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13 please explain.

II. Government's Proposed Voir Dire Questions and Defendant's **Objections**

Case Description (Proposed by Government)

This is a federal criminal case. Donald Day Jr. has been charged with two counts of making interstate threats, one count of felon in possession of firearms and ammunition, one count of possession of an unregistered firearm and one count of threatening federal officials. It is alleged that Mr. Day posted two threats on the internet against law enforcement and the Director of the World Health Organization. These alleged threats do not involve any allegations of actual physical violence. It is further alleged that in Heber, Arizona, Donald Day possessed nine firearms and approximately 10,000 rounds of ammunition. Mr. Day is also charged with possession of an unregistered short-barrel shotgun. Finally, Mr. Day is charged with making verbal threats against FBI personnel. Mr. Day denies the charges, has pleaded not guilty, and is presumed innocent unless and until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of those charges.

Defense objection: The government's description should not be used for at least two reasons: First, for purposes of a prescreening questionnaire, Mr. Day's name should not be disclosed. This case has attracted media attention in both the United States and Australia.² By including Mr. Day's name, there is a significant risk that prospective jurors could be exposed to prejudicial media coverage of the case—simply by Googling Mr. Day's name. The risk of prejudice is greatly outweighed by the limited benefit of

Second, the government's case description contains an unnecessarily specific description of the charges and unnecessary (and disputed) factual information. For example, the government's description would inform prospective jurors that Mr. Day has been charged with "felon in possession"—despite the fact that he currently has a pending motion to dismiss that charge. And, if that motion is granted, the jury is unlikely to learn the highly prejudicial fact of Mr. Day's alleged prior convictions. The description also contains unnecessary and disputed detail: for example, the government suggests Counts 1 and 2 allege threats against "law enforcement" and the Director of the World Health Organization. That is the government's characterization of the facts of this case; Mr. Day vigorously disputes that characterization. A shorter, more generic description—that the case involves allegations of "threats and unlawful possession of firearms"—provides all the detail necessary, at this stage in the proceedings.

identifying, in advance, members of the venire that might know Mr. Day personally.

Questionnaire Modifications

23. Insert the following: Do you know the Defendant Donald Day Jr.?

Defense Objection: Strike question in light of the media attention this case has attracted.

41. Insert: Did that crime involve a firearm?

² See, e.g., https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2024/jan/18/wieambilla-shooting-donald-day-allegedly-ammunition-buckets-ammo-fbi-us-court-gareth-stacey-train; https://www.abc.net.au/news/2023-12-08/inside-god-fearing-conspiratorial-worldviews-of-donald-day-jr/103204360; https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-12908697/Wieambilla-tragedy-American-sovereign-citizen-Donald-Day-Jr-accused-coaching-Aussie-doomsday-terrorist-cop-killers.html

crime in the space provided in the questionnaire.

Government's Proposed Questionnaire Questions

Defense Objection: Prospective jurors can already provide a description of the

1

2

4	1. Do you believe that federal laws regulating the possession of firearms violate	
5	the Constitution? Do you believe federal gun laws are too strict? Too lenient?	
6	Defense objection: Duplicative (stipulated question # 63)	
7	2. Do you believe that the possession of firearms and ammunition should be	
8	legalized under all circumstances? Do you believe it is proper for the government to	
9	regulate who is permitted to have weapons? Do you believe felons should be allowed to	
10	possess firearms and ammunition?	
11	Defense objection : duplicative (stipulated question # 63). Also, in light of the	
12	pending motion to dismiss counts 3 and 4, the question introduces prejudicial and	
13	potentially irrelevant information.	
14	3. Have you or any close family members had their rights to possess firearms	
15	revoked or restored?	
16	Defense objection : duplicative (stipulated question #64)	
17	4. Do you or someone close to you belong to an organization that lobbies for or	
18	against gun control?	
19	Defense objection : duplicative (standard question #20)	
20	5. Does the fact that a firearm is involved in this case impact your ability to be	
21	an impartial juror to either the defendant or government?	
22	Defense objection : duplicative (standard question #45)	
23	6. Do you agree that more than one person can have possession and control over	
24	an item?	
25	Defense objection : attempts to argue / educate the jury on the government's theory	
26	of the case / interpretation of the law	
27	7. Do you believe that the First Amendment allows you to say or write whatever	
28	you want?	

1	Defense objection : attempts to argue / educate the jury on the government's theor	
2	of the case / interpretation of the law	
3	8. Do you believe that the government has the right to regulate certain speech?	
4	Defense objection : attempts to argue / educate the jury on the government's theory	
5	of the case / interpretation of the law	
6	9. Do you believe that for a threat to be valid, a person has to intend to carry	
7	out the threat?	
8	Defense objection : attempts to argue / educate the jury on the government's theory	
9	of the case / interpretation of the law	
10	10. Do you belong to an organization that advocates for free speech?	
11	Defense objection : duplicative (standard question #20)	
12	11. Have you or a close family member been the victim of a crime involving	
13	threats or threatening communications?	
14	Defense objection : duplicative (standard question #41 (as amended))	
15	12. Do you believe that threats directed at law enforcement should be considered	
16	part of the job?	
17	Defense objection : attempts to argue / educate the jury on the government's theory	
18	of the case / interpretation of the law	
19	III. <u>Defendant's Proposed Voir Dire Questions and the Government's</u>	
20	Objections	
21	Case Description (Proposed by Defendant)	
22	This is a federal criminal case. The defendant has been indicted on five counts	
23	related to making threats and the unlawful possession of firearms and ammunition. The	
24	defendant denies the charges, has pleaded not guilty, and is presumed innocent unless and	
25	until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of those charges.	
26	Defendant's Proposed Questionnaire Questions	
27	1. Are you a strong supporter of vaccine mandates?	
28		

1	Government's objection: propose adding the language "or opponent" after	
2	supporter.	
3	2. Are you a strong supporter of the World Health Organization?	
4	Government's objection: propose adding the language "or opponent" after	
5	supporter.	
6	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 17th day of June 2024.	
7	GARY M. RESTAINO	
8	United States Attorney District of Arizona	
9		
10	s/ Abbie Broughton Marsh DAVID A. PIMSNER	
11	ABBIE BROUGHTON MARSH Assistant U.S. Attorneys	
12		
13	s/Mark Rumold (with permission) MARK RUMOLD Connect for Defendant	
14	Counsel for Defendant	
15	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
16	I hereby certify that on this 17th day of June 2024, I electronically transmitted th	
17	attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing a copy to the	
18	following CM/ECF registrants:	
19	Mark Rumold Counsel for Donald Day Jr.	
20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
21	<u>/s/Christian Perez</u> U.S. Attorney's Office	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		